

Litigation

- Maverick v. Harper
- Gaylord v. U.S.
- Lenz v. Universal
- Actuate Corp. v. IBM
- Sony Disco v. E.J.C. Family
- U.S. Copyright Group Mass Litigation
- Settlements
 - Real Networks v. DVD Copy Control
 Association
 - Amazon.com v. Perfect 10

Maverick Recording Co. v. Harper (5th Cir.)

Facts:

Record companies sued Defendant for copyright infringement.

Lower court:

- Harper infringed by sharing files on P2P network.

 Open question re: "Innocent Infringement."
- Fifth Circuit Holding:
 - Innocent infringer defense is not available, as a matter of law, when proper copyright notice appears on the CDs containing the published songs

Gaylord v. U.S. (Fed. Cir.)





- Facts: Sculptor sued U.S. government over Postal Service's stamp featuring photo of Korean War Memorial sculptures
- Trial Court: Stamp a Transformative Fair Use
- Appeals Court Holding: Federal Circuit: Stamp was *not* Transformative & *not* a Fair Use

Lenz v. Universal Music (N.D.

Cal.)

- Facts: "The Dancing Baby Case"
- Holding:
 - Court held that mom of the dancing baby *may* receive damages from Universal Music for ordering YouTube to takedown 30-second clip of her child dancing to a Prince song.
 - Court has yet to rule on the merits of Lenz's fair use claim.

Actuate Corp. v. IBM (N.D. Cal.)

Facts:

- Under software license, IBM obtained Actuate software and related materials, including license keys
- IBM posted software & license keys on the Internet without authorization
- Actuate sued for breach of contract & alleged violation of DMCA anti-trafficking provision

Holding:

Denied motion to dismiss. Unauthorized distribution of usernames & passwords bypasses a tech measure in violation of §§ 1201(a)(2) and (b)(1) of DMCA

Sony Disco v. E.J.C. Family (SD Texas)

- Facts
 - Cole ran flea market
 - Sony complained to Cole re counterfeit CDs
 - Cole did nothing
- Holding
 - Judge Lynn Hughes questioned secondary liability
 - In this case applies secondary liability principles strictly
 - Found no knowledge of specific infringements
 - No direct income from infringement
 - No right and ability to control
 - "...attempt to pass the cost of protecting one's copyright to middlemen and, ultimately, to consumers"

U.S. Copyright Group Litigation

- Achte/Neunte Boll Kino Beteilingungs GMBH & Co KG v. Does (D. D.C)
 - Copyright infringement lawsuits initiated by the
 "U.S. Copyright Group" against thousands of "John Does"
 - U.S. Copyright Group:
 - "A collection of attorneys and IT consultants working together to monetize distribution channels"
 - Litigation brought on behalf of an ad-hoc coalition of small, independent film producers against BitTorrent







